



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/232,866	01/15/1999	RASSOLL RASHIDI	96CAD01	8300
7590 10/29/2003			EXAMINER	
TIMOTHY E. NAUMAN			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, 7TH FLOOR			3762	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	5/6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/232,866	DACHIDI DACCOLI				
		RASHIDI, RASSOLL				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR F	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing da						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period eu under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ofmely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	pecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ∑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NOT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
B. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:	<u> </u>	KENNEDV SCHAETZLE PRIMARY EXAMINER				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner considers the spacer to be not only surrounding the tension/compression wires, but also between said wires. In re the flexibility issue, note Clapp, 227 USPQ 973. Regarding the tension/compression issue, a slack wire is a wire not in tension. Buckling simply refers to an object bent, warped or crumpled under pressure. The fact that the '690 reference refers to the wire as being slack does not mean that it can not be buckled or under compression, but rather that is not under tension.